

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಬಿ. ನಿತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಈ ನೌಕರರನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಿದವರು ಯಾರು? ಕರೆಕ್ಟರ್‌ಗೆ ಅಥವಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—All those appointments were made when the old Hyderabad State was there. We do not know who was the authority. Most probably, the Collector might have been the authority.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—What are the classes of appointments that are taken into consideration?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I have said just now that that information is not with me. If the Hon'ble Member wants that information, I shall call for it and supply it.

Sri A. R. BADRI NARAYAN.—Does this list of 58 people include menial services also?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I have already replied that I want notice for that question.

Dr. T. PARTHASARATHY.—Sir, I would request you not to allow such communal questions in this House. It is a basic principle on which the administration is set up. After having declared India as a secular State, it looks ridiculous to discuss such details about communal appointments on the floor of this House.

Sri G. ANNA RAO.—It is quite relevant because it is not communal but community-wise.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ನಾಲ್ಕು ತಿಂಗಳಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳೋ, ಒಂದೂವರೆ ತಿಂಗಳ ಹಿಂದೋ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ. ಈಗಲೂ 29 ಜನ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರು, 29 ಜನ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣೇತರರು ಕರೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I have replied that the information was called for from the Collector's Office and this was the position when the reply was sent to the Government.

### Construction of a Road leading to Melur.

Q.—1303. Sri S. R. RAMIAH (Hosakote).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) (i) whether a request was made to them for the construction of a road

leading to Melur from the outskirts of Vijayapura, Devanahalli Taluk, (ii) if so, when?

(b) the action taken in the matter?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) (i) No request has been received by Government.

(ii) Does not arise.

(b) Does not arise.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದು ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಲ್ಲಿ; ನೀವು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಲ್ಲಿ; ಜಾರ್ಜ್ ಆಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಇವತ್ತಿನ ದಿನ. ಈ ಮಧ್ಯೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ರಸ್ತೆ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮನವಿ ಬಂದು, ಎಸ್.ಎಂ.ಆರ್. ತಯಾರು ಮಾಡಿ, ಒಂದು ವರದಿ ಬಂದಿದ್ದೀರೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣ ಗೌಡ.—ಇನೂ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಜಾಗೃತವಾದೀನಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣ ಗೌಡ.—ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಎಸ್.ಎಂ.ಆರ್. ಬರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವಿದೆ.

### Disparity in the Pay Scales between State and Central Government Servants.

Q.—1325. Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the steps they have taken to minimise the disparities existing between the emoluments of State and Central Government servants doing comparable jobs in the said place;

(b) whether they propose to request the Central Government for aid to minimise the disparities?

A.—Sri T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—

(a) The Scales of Pay and Dearness Allowance for New Mysore were fixed in January 1957 and disparities have been narrowed down to a certain extent.

(b) Government of India have promised assistance only in the case of low paid employees to a limited extent.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Is there any time-limit fixed within which these disparities between the

Central and State officials will be narrowed down?

\*Sri T. MARIAPPA.—No time-limit can obviously be fixed because nobody has guaranteed the narrowing down of the disparities.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—What is the quantum and percentage of Central aid that has been received and for how long?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The Government of India have promised to give us to the extent of a crore and a quarter, and they have promised to aid in cases where we increase the pay of officials drawing below Rs. 60 and below Rs. 100.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Now that the Second Pay Commission is in the offing, has the Government thought it fit to represent to the Government of India for the increase of the promised amount?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—That question is not very relevant in this context because the Pay Commission enquires mainly into the pay scales of the Central Government employees.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—With regard to the industrial concerns, what are the steps taken by the Government to reduce these disparities and differences?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—That question does not arise because this question is only about the disparity in the pay scales between State and Central Government servants.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Was the quantum of aid promised when you raised the pay scales of the Mysore officials last year?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—It is only this year that the new State promulgated the new scales of pay. We represented to the Government of India that it will be very difficult for the State Government to bear this extra burden of nearly Rs. 4 crores. Fortunately, the Government of India have come to our aid.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Have the Government any idea of the financial implications of the Mysore State if the pay scales are raised to those of the Central Government?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—We have not worked out any definite figures. It will be something almost staggering.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Would the Government consider the desirability of working out and telling the House that it will be staggering?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—On another occasion when a similar question was put, I have said that the recent revision has resulted in an increase of nearly Rs. 4 crores. Suppose we step up our scales to those of the Central Government, it would roughly be of the order of Rs. 10 crores.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SHETTY.—For narrowing down these disparities how much extra expenditure will be involved?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—On account of the recent revision we have taken an additional burden of nearly Rs. 4 crores.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SHETTY.—What is the amount assured by the Government of India?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—A crore and a quarter.

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—When are we going to consider this question?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—We have already considered this question in the month of January and we stepped up the pay scales of our officials. It is now for the Government of India to consider it. One of the terms of reference of the Second Pay Commission is that they should consider the proposals in respect of new scales of pay in the background of the pay scales obtaining in the States. This question will certainly be taken into consideration incidentally.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎ. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಈ ಸಂಬಳ ಸಾರಿಗೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ, ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನೌಕರರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಸ್‌ಕಂಟೆಂಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಡಿಸ್‌ಕಂಟೆಂಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನೌಕರರ ಸಂಬಳ ಸಾರಿಗೆ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನೌಕರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಏನೂ ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಚೀಫ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರಿಗೆ 2,500 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಂಬಳ ಬರುತ್ತದೆಂದು ನಾನು ಅಫೀಷಿಯಲ್ಸ್‌ಗೆ ಡಿಸ್‌ಕಂಟೆಂಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಇರುತ್ತದೆಯೇ? ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಸಂಬಳ ಸಾರಿಗೆ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನೌಕರರಿಗೆ; ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಸಂಬಳ ಸಾರಿಗೆ, ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ

(ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ)  
ನೌಕರರಿಗೆ ಸರ್ವಿಸಿಗೆ ಸೇರುವಾಗಲೇ ಇದು ಗೊತ್ತಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅತ್ತಪ್ಪಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri F. X. DENIS PINTO.—Are the new scales of pay implemented throughout the State?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Yes.

Sri F. X. DENIS PINTO.—My information is that they are not implemented in South Kanara.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—We have given option to those people who have come from the integrated areas to opt out for these scales. Those who opt for them will certainly get them. In some cases, equation of posts has not taken place. Therefore, there is time lag for opting out.

Sri F. X. DENIS PINTO.—Are these people to suffer because equation has not taken place?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—After equation has taken place, when these people opt out, they will get all the money from 1st January 1957.

9-30 A.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶರಣಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ಗೌರವ ಮೆಂಟನವರು ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮತ್ತು ಟೆಲಿಗ್ರಾಫ್ ನವರಿಗೆ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಕಮಿಷನ್ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಎಂಪ್ಲಾಯೀಸ್ ನೀವು 1957ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಂಥ ಸ್ಟೇಲುಗಳಿಂದ ಸಂತುಷ್ಟಿಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಮತ್ತು ಅದನ್ನು ಪರ್ಯಾಲೋಚಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮನವಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲ. ಹಾಗೆ ಏನೂ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದನ್ನು ಅಮೂಲಾಗ್ರವಾಗಿ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. In making their recommendations, the Commission will take into account the historical background, the economic conditions in the country and the implications and requirements of developmental planning, and also the disparities in the standard of remuneration and conditions of service of the Central Government employees on the one hand and of the employees of the State Governments, Local Bodies and Aided institutions on the other, and all other relevant factors.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—With reference to answer (a), it is stated that the Scales of Pay and Dearness Allowance for New Mysore were fixed in January 1957 and disparities have been narrowed down to a certain extent. Again the Central Government is going to revise the

scales of the pay of Central Government Servants. You say you want to bring about uniformity. Will you take action to convince the Central Government to see that the Central Government employees' pay scales are not revised unless they give substantial assistance to the State Governments?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—My Hon'ble friend must know better. It will be very difficult for us to say that no increase in their pay should be given. On the other hand, we are urging them to come to our aid also. Our servants are as human as the Government of India employees and therefore we are asking them to kindly have some fundamental principle in fixing up the scales of pay.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಇಂದಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸಹಾಯ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ದ್ರವ್ಯ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೋ? ಅಥವಾ ಸಾಲದ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೋ? ಅದನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಅಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ 60 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗಿಂತ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಬರತಕ್ಕ ನೌಕರ ಮನೆಯರಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಭಾಗವನ್ನೂ 60 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಂದ 100 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳವರೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಬರತಕ್ಕವರಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಭಾಗವನ್ನೂ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. Low paid employeesಗೆ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದಷ್ಟಿ. ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಒಂದು ಕೋಟಿ 25 ಲಕ್ಷ ಬರುವುದು.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SHETTY.—May I know whether there is any proposal to extend part of the Central aid to the local bodies also?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—In fact I think I have already made it clear. If local bodies have got their own resources to meet the increase, the State Government will extend this aid to them.

Sri G. ANNA RAO.—In view of the low pay of Government servants, is there any proposal before the Government to merge part of their Dearness Allowance in the basic salary?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—That question does not arise. It is purely a question of disparity between the Central pay scales and State pay scales.

Sri Y. MANJAYYA SHETTY.—Is it not a fact that the increase in salary granted has been paid to all N.G.Os. in the State except the N.G.Os. working in South Kanara District? If so, why

delay has been caused ? When are they going to pay the increase ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I am not going to subscribe to the inference drawn by my Hon'ble friend. Those people who opt out will certainly get the new scales of pay.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—I want to know why even in the case of persons who have opted to the new scales of pay have not been paid ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I am sorry if those that have opted out to new scales of pay have not been paid. They must be paid and I shall see they are paid.

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—The temporary increase given last time has been wiped out due to rise in prices and inflationary tendencies, is it not ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Perhaps my Hon'ble friend must have known that there is decline in prices recently.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Question time is over.

### ALLEGED DISQUALIFICATION OF CERTAIN MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Turuvekere).—I request the Chair to forward my letter to the Governor and to have the question of disqualification of an Hon'ble Member of this House determined by him. The facts of the case are mentioned in my letter. I will read it for your kind consideration. I have sent that letter to your office. The letter reads as follows :

“May I bring to your notice that Sri J. Venkatappa, the Hon'ble Member for Sidlaghatta, is disqualified under Article No. 191, clause (1) (a) of the Constitution for being a Member of this House, since he is holding an office of profit under the Government of Mysore ? The facts are follows:—

(1) He is the President of the Town Municipal Council of Sidlaghatta.....”

In this connection, Sir, I would like to read the relevant section from the Town Municipalities Act.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA (Holenarsipur).—Before my learned friend reads the letter, I would like to know whether the letter was referred to the Hon'ble Speaker or not.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Yes. It has been given to me now.

Sri V. VENKATAPPA (Minister for Education).—I want to know whether the Member concerned against whom this reference has been made has been intimated to meet the points that have been now raised ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Unless it is a point of order, I may be permitted to have my say. Other friends may say what they want subsequently.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—Is the Hon'ble Member who is reading about Sri J. Venkatappa entitled to bring this aspect before this House during this hour, when this hour is scheduled to discuss something ? He has not given any notice of this matter to this House. To our surprise, can he bring any matter before this House ? I want a clarification from Sri J. Venkatappa, whether a copy of this letter has been forwarded to him or not.

Sri J. VENKATAPPA (Sidlaghatta).—I have not been intimated about it so far.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—When that is the case, when the candidate concerned himself says that he has not been intimated, are we in order in taking up this matter ?

Sri M. RAMAPPA (Harihar).—This subject is not on the agenda.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I have given an opportunity to Sri Subramanya.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—Sir, members of this House are not aware of this at all. Not only that, even Sri J. Venkatappa against whom this has been brought, himself is not aware of this.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಸರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ (ಶ್ರವಣ ಬೆಳಗೊಳ).—ನಮ್ಮ ಸದನದ ಮುಂದೆ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಲಿ, ಸ್ವೀಕರಣವಾಗಲಿ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಲಿ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಹಂಚಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು.